ASSESSMENT

And other things...

W. Allen Richman, Ph.D.
Why are we here today?

- To help our students learn

- But we must be mindful of where we stand
  - President’s Score Card
  - College Measures
Consider the following questions:

- Are you effective?
  - Are you good at what you do?
  - Are you the best you can be or is there room for improvement?
- Are your students achieving the course learning outcomes?
  - Are your students’ adequately prepared for subsequent courses?
- Have your graduates obtained all the knowledge, skills, and values necessary to be successful?
Prove it:

- Are you effective?
  - Are you good at what you do?
  - Are you the best you can be or is there room for improvement?
- Are your students achieving the course learning outcomes?
  - Are your students’ adequately prepared for subsequent courses?
- Have your graduates obtained all the knowledge, skills, and values necessary for their area of study?
Assessment Planning

- Do your assessments provide useful data for individual faculty
  - How am I doing compared to others (best, worst, in-between)?

- Do your assessments provide useful data for all learning outcomes?

- Do your assessments let you know how students are performing over time?
  - Measure only at graduation versus seeing learning over time in different course levels
Steps to creating meaningful assessments

- Review your learning outcomes:
  - Program Learning Outcomes (PLOs)
    - What should a graduate be able to do?
    - What kinds of projects / skills should they demonstrate before they leave the institution?
  - Course Learning Outcomes
    - Do required courses in the program allow students to be introduced to each PLO, practice each PLO, and demonstrate mastery of each PLO?
Steps to creating meaningful assessments

- Connect your learning outcomes

- Are all program learning outcomes or other standards covered appropriately?
  - Right now it is a best guess – later you should have data to prove that they are covered appropriately
Identify key courses and timeline

- It is possible but not probable that you will want to assess all courses all of the time.

- Identify those key courses which cover all program learning outcomes, and have the most overlap with other course learning outcomes.

- These courses are placed on a regular schedule of data collection.
Create the perfect assessment...

- What assessment covers all of the courses learning outcomes and best demonstrates the 1-2 program outcomes attached to this course?
- Imbed it as a permanent part of every section.
BREAK
Creating the perfect assessment...

- If assessment is truly FOR our students then identify a course assessment that is:
  - **Interesting**
    - To faculty
    - To students
    - To all stakeholders
  - **Real**
  - **Fun**
  - **“Big”**
Step 1

1. Is the scope of this assignment appropriate for the course. (too big, too small)?
   - Does it address all of the courses learning outcomes?

2. Does this assignment *lead* to what students will be doing in subsequent courses?

3. Is this agreed upon as the best common assessment for this course?
Try it: Step 2

1. Break the assignment down into its parts.

2. Align each part with a course outcome.

3. Is each domain a strong example of the knowledge, skill, or value stated in the course outcome?
Building Assessments

- Identify the parts of the assessment.
- And the map from those parts to learning outcomes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research Paper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Written and Oral Communication
- Program Content
- Information Literacy
- Critical Thinking*
Create Rubric based on assignment parts

- Suggest using 5 performance-level rubric
- “Points” are for grading
- Performance-level is for assessment.
- Each domain of the rubric should connect to one course outcome or directly to another learning outcome (general education or professional program standard)
Step 4

1. Finish the Rubric
   - What does each domain “look like” when it is terrible but attempted?

2. Work through each domain to define the characteristics of each performance-level.
   - Be certain that each level is distinct

3. Do faculty agree that each level is appropriate?
Step 5

1. If possible, test the rubric as a group on 2-3 pieces of student work.

   - If there is general consensus that the student’s product is appropriately evaluated by the rubric – then put the rubric into effect.

   - If not, then back to Step 4 until the rubric works.
BREAK
What now?

- Fully mapped and aligned curriculum
- Common embedded and aligned assessments
- What about the data?
What this can look like:

- Faculty grade each student in their course
- Aggregate reports provide data on specific assignments
- Aggregate reports provide learning outcome performance across multiple assessments
It can also look like
Steps

• Process for distributive handling and centrally storing data.
  • Long-term schedule
    ▪ when courses will be assessed
    ▪ assess – intervene – reassess
    ▪ align with when program data is due
  • Semester schedule

• Integrate building and reporting on assessment activities into all meetings

• Establish support structure
  • Budget
  • Resources

• Determine level that is feasible
Questions?