AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				Contract Number	Page of Pages		
2. Amendment/Modification Num		Effective Date			GF-2016-R-0032 urchase Request No.	1 12	
GF-2016-R-0032-002	Dei 5.	July 20, 2016	4. ru	equisition/Fi	archase Request No.	Solicitation Caption IDIQ for Construction Management Services	
6. Issued By:		Code	 	7. Administe	red By (If other than line		
University of the District of Colu	ımbia		_		of the District of Columbi	•	
Capital Procurement Division			- 1		ocurement Division		
4200 Connecticut Avenue, NW	, Room C/04		- 1		necticut Avenue, NW		
Building 38				Building 3			
Washington, DC 20008 8. Name and Address of Contract	for (No. Street	city country state and 710	Code		n, DC 20008 (X) 9A. Amendment of S	Colicitation No.	
o. Name and Address of Contract	tor (140. Street,	city, country, state and zir	Code	'	GF-2016-R-0032	solicitation no.	
[9B. Dated (See Item	11)	
					June 30, 2016	27.17.27	
					10A. Modification of		
Code		Facility			10B, Dated (See Iter	n 13)	
		HIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES					
X The above numbered solicitati							
Offers must acknowledge rec following methods: (a) By con			na dat			nended, by one of the By acknowledging receipt of this	
amendment on each copy of	-		ter or fa				
						TED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS	
						his amendment you desire to change	
an offer already submitted, su			•		_	erence to the	
solicitation and this amendme			our and	d date speci	fied.		
12. Accounting and Appropriation Data (If Required) 13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS.							
		DDIFIES THE CONTRACT				RS,	
A. This change order is is			ONDE	IN 140. AS L	DESCRIBED IN TIEM 14		
		de in the contract/order no	. in ite	m 10A.			
	B. The above numbered contract/order is modified to reflect the administrative changes (such as changes in paying office, appropriation						
		to the authority of 27 DCM		apter 36, Se	ction 3601.2.	5-70 S.P. SI S TENE	
C. This supplemental agreement is entered into pursuant to authority of:							
	D. Other (Specify type of modification and authority)						
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not, X is required to sign this document and return 5 copy to the issuing office.							
14. Description of amendment/modification (Organized by UCF Section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)							
Announcement No. GF-2016-R-0032 for IDIQ for Construction Management Services at the University of the District of Columbia is hereby amended as follows:							
Answers to questions from prospective offerors are attached as (Attachment A).							
2) Page 22 is deleted and substituted with the revised page 22 attached as (Attachment B).							
3) Page 64 is deleted and substituted with the revised page 64 attached as (Attachment C).							
4) Page 65 is deleted and substituted with the revised page 65 attached as (Attachment D).							
5) Section J.2.1 Offer Letter (Attachment E).							
6) The proposal submission due date and time is extended to Friday, August 5, 2016 not later than 2:00 P.M.							
7) All other Terms and Conditions remain the same.							
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item (9A or 10A) remain unchanged and in full force and effect							
15A. Name and Title of Signer (Ty					of Contracting Officer	THE WITTER STORE STORE	
	ectation is		E	Eddie Whital	rer /		
15B. Name of Contractor		15C. Date Signe	ed 1	6B. District	6f Columbia	16C. Date Signed	
/S:====	turn of spectage states	dead to size N		6/10	A	7-20-16	
I SOLA	ture of person author	ILZBO ID SIGRIJI			/ Namu	sture of Contracting Officer)	

ATTACHMENT A

University of the District of Columbia IDIQ for Construction Management Services

Solicitation No. GF-2016-R-0032

Questions & Responses

L		
_	Page # 4 of the RFP includes two Project Managers as part of the fee	Page 22 is deleted and substituted with the revised page 22 attached
	schedule, but Page #22 cites a Senior PM as part of contractor employee	(attachment B),
	qualifications. Could you confirm if UDC is requesting an hourly rate for	
	two Senior Project Managers or Project Managers?	Amendment 1 - Attachment B
7	The RFP doesn't include an attachment for a past performance	To document past performance. Offerors are to submit either letters of
	evaluation form for each project reference. Could you confirm if the	recommendation or past performance evaluation forms. Evaluation forms
	District's standard past performance evaluation form can be submitted as	must be District Government standard or similarly formatted. All past
	part of the proposal?	performance documents must clearly identify the staff member or project
		being evaluated and the name, title and contact information of the reference
		provider. Amendment 1
3	-	To document past performance, Offerors are to submit either letters of
	tter of recommendation for proposed staff. Could y	recommendation or past performance evaluation forms. Evaluation forms
	confirm if the offeror can develop and submit a letter of	must be District Government standard or similarly formatted. All past
	recommendation?	performance documents must clearly identify the staff member or project
		being evaluated and the name, title and contact information of the reference
		provider. Amendment 1
4	The fee schedule includes columns for minimum and maximum	Yes, this is correct. Offerors are to fill in for Hourly Rates and Total
	amounts, hourly rate and total amount. Could you confirm if the fee	Amounts.
	schedule is to include only fully loaded hourly rates for each labor	
	category and the total number of staff requested?	
		Amendment 1
2	Page 33 G.9.3 references 3 years. Price is for base and 4 options.	Page 33 is deleted and substituted with the revised page 33 attached
		(attachment C). Amendment 1 - Attachment C
9	Section C.15 calls for both the Project Manager and Construction	CMA to be corrected to be Certified Construction Manager (CCM). Project
	Manager to be a registered professional (RA, PE, PMP, CMA).	Manager and Construction Manager to be a registered professional. (RA,
	Please confirm that the requirement should be CMC (Certified	PE, PMP, CCM). Page 22 is deleted and substituted with the revised page
	Management Consultant) in lieu of CMA (Certified Management	22 attached.
	Accountant).	
		Amendment 2 - Attachment B
7	Section B.5 contains the pricing sheets for the Base Year Period	The hourly rate will be determined per RFTOP. Please provide the hourly
	and Option Years 1-4. Please provide the number of hours per	rate for each labor categories.
	year for each position listed so that the Total Amount column can	
	be calculated.	Amendment 2
	The C	Dana 1 of 2

University of the District of Columbia IDIQ for Construction Management Services

Solicitation No. GF-2016-R-0032

∞	Section M.2.1 states that Factor 1 Technical Capability and Information Evaluation has a maximum of 65 Points. The three sub factors under Factor 1 equal a maximum of 60 points combined. Section M.2.1 states that Factor 2 Organization and Team Experience has a maximum of 20 Points. The three cub	The maximum for Factor 1 Technical Capability and Information is 60 points. The maximum for Factor 2 Organization and Team Experience is 25 points. Page 64 is deleted and substituted with the revised page 64 attached.
<u>-</u>	_	Amondment 2 Attachment C
9	Section M.2.4 states that the total noints awarded under the	The total points awarded under the solicitation are 147 Page 65 is deleted
		and substituted with the revised page 65 attached.
	total sum of 147 points. Please clarify.	
	-	Amendment 2 - Attachment D
2	Attachment K-1 (Certification of Eligibility) and K-2 (Debarment) appear to be the same document. Is this correct?	Yes, this is correct.
		(Amendment 2)
=	Please confirm that (2) Evaluations are required for each Past Performance Project – (10 Evaluations Total)	Yes, this is correct.
		(Amendment 2)
12	Please confirm that (2) Evaluations are required for each Key Personnel (resume) submitted. – (Minimum 14 Total)	Key Personnel per L.4.6.1.2.3 include the proposed project executive. (Amendment 2)
13		Yes, this is correct.
	required for the Design/ Builder. This is usually required of the A&E team. Please confirm that only Professional Liability	
	insurance is required for Construction Management Services, not	
	Professional E&O Liability insurance.	(Amendment 2)
14		Please see attachment J.2.1
	its proposal. Could the University please clarify what the "Offer Letter" is and if it is a specific form Offerors are to complete?	http://www.udc.edu/procurement/capital solicitations
		IDIQ for Construction Management Services
		Closes on July 28, 2016 @ 2pm GF-2016-R-0032 Attachment J.1.1 Attachment J.1.2 Attachment
		J.1.3 Attachment J.1.4 Attachment J.2.1 Amendment 001
		Amendment 2 - Attachment E

ATTACHMENT B

C.15 <u>CONTRACTOR EMPLOYEE QUALIFICATIONS:</u> The following minimum qualifications are required for each of the labor categories required on the contract.

C.15.1

<u>Project Manager:</u> A senior executive with extensive experience in the real estate and Professional Services field organizing and managing large or medium building construction projects of similar complexity and magnitude. The candidates for this position shall be a registered professional (RA, PE, PMP, CMA).

C.15.2

<u>Project Manager Junior:</u> Professional Engineer in Training, Architect or Construction Manager with experience in organizing and managing large or medium building construction projects of similar complexity and magnitude.

C.15.3

<u>Construction Manager:</u> Qualified professional in this field with related experience in large or medium building construction projects of similar complexity and magnitude. Responsible for coordinating daily construction operations, and provides regular status and incident reports. The candidates for this position shall be a registered professional (RA, PE, PMP, CCM).

C.15.4

<u>Sr. Cost Estimator:</u> Qualified certified professional in this field with related experience in large or medium building construction projects of similar complexity and magnitude.

C.15.5

<u>M/E/P Inspector</u>: Qualified professional in this field with extensive related experience in large or medium building construction projects of similar complexity and magnitude

C.16 GOVERNMENT RECORDS

The Contractor shall not disclose any information or data to anyone unless approved by the District. All such information or data is reserved exclusively for use between the University and the Contractor, including employees of the Contractor's firm. When deemed appropriate, the University may authorize the release of certain information or data necessary for use by the Contractor in performance of contract work, and in such cases specific written authorization must be obtained from CO in advance. Such data so released shall not be further disseminated and shall not be considered released into the public domain. The Contractor must ensure that this clause is included in all tiers of subcontracts.

ATTACHMENT C

PART V

SECTION M - EVALUATION PREFERENCE POINTS

M.1 EVALUATION FOR AWARD [Not applicable to TOs]

The contracts will be awarded to multiple responsible Offerors whose offer is most advantageous to the University, based upon the evaluation criteria specified in M.3 below. Thus, while the points in the evaluation criteria indicate their relative importance, the total scores will not necessarily be determinative of the award. Rather, the total scores will guide the University in making an intelligent award decision based upon the evaluation criteria.

M.2 EVALUATION CRITERIA

Proposals will be evaluated based on the following technical and price evaluation factors listed in descending order of importance. The Technical Proposal must include necessary information to enable evaluators to form a concrete conclusion of the offeror's ability to manage and perform the work identified in the solicitation. The evaluation of each Technical Proposal shall measure the ability of the Offeror to effectively manage general construction projects, provided in response to the submission requirements specified in Section L.4.6.

M.2.1 Volume 1 - Technical Capability Information Evaluation 60 Points

Factor 1 – Professional Qualifications (Maximum 30 Points)

Offerors are to provide information to demonstrate successful experience as a CM professional service contractor on similar projects as defined in Section L.4.6

Sub factor 1 – Five (5) similar projects in the past seven (7) years (Maximum 25 points)

Sub factor 2 – Two past performance evaluations/letters or recommendation for each project listed a similar (Maximum 5 points).

Factor 2 - Organization and Team Experience (Maximum 25 Points)

Offerors are to provide information per section L.4.6 to demonstrate firm's depth of resources available for project/client support

Sub factor 1 – Organizational Chart and table summarizing total staff and are of discipline (Maximum 5 points)

Sub factor 2 – Resume of key personnel illustrating their experience and qualifications (Maximum 15 points)

Sub factor 3 – Two (2) letters past performance evaluation/letters of recommendation for each staff listed as key personnel (Maximum 5 points)

Factor 3 – Professional Capabilities (Maximum 10 Points)

Offerors are to provide information per section L.4.6 to demonstrate ability to successfully manage facilities construction contracts

Sub factor 1 – Project Management Plan (Maximum 5 points)

ATTACHMENT D

Sub factor 2 – Contractor's utilization of standard and web-based CM tools and application (Maximum 5 points)

M.2.2 Volume 2, Price Proposal Evaluation 40 Points

The price proposal evaluation will be objective. The extended prices for the base year and the option years will constitute the total price for the purpose of the price evaluation. The Offeror with the lowest price will receive the maximum price points. All other proposals will receive a proportionately lower total score. The following formula will be used to determine each Offeror's evaluated price score:

Lowest price proposal x 40 = Evaluated price score Price of proposal being evaluated

M.2.3 Preference Points (12 Points)

The maximum preference points a Contractor can receive is 12. The preference points will be added to the Contractor evaluation score.

M.2.4 Total Points

The total points awarded under the solicitation are 147.

M.3 OPEN MARKET CLAUSES WITH SBE SUBCONTRACTING SET-ASIDE (SUPPLIES AND SERVICES)

M.3.1

Preferences for Local Businesses, Disadvantaged Businesses, Resident-owned Businesses, Small Businesses, Longtime Resident Businesses, or Local Businesses with Principal Offices Located in an Enterprise Zone

Under the provisions of the "Small, Local, and Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Development and Assistance Act of 2005" (the Act), Title II, Subtitle N, of the "Fiscal Year 2006 Budget Support Act of 2005", D,C, Law 16-33, effective October 20, 2005, the District will apply preferences in evaluating bids or proposals from businesses that are small, local, disadvantaged, resident-owned, longtime resident, or local with a principal office located in an enterprise zone of the District of Columbia.

M.3.2

Required Small Business Enterprise (SBE) Subcontracting Set-Aside

35% of the total dollar value of this contract has been set-aside for performance through subcontracting with businesses certified by the Small and Local Business Opportunity Commission (SLBOC) or the Department of Small and Local Business Development (DSLBD), as applicable, as small business enterprises. Any prime contractor responding to this solicitation shall submit within 5 days of the CO's request, a notarized statement detailing its subcontracting plan. Once the plan is approved by the CO, changes will only occur with the prior written approval of the CO.

M.3.3 General Preferences

For evaluation purposes, the allowable preferences under the Act for this procurement are as follows:

M.3.3.1

Three percent reduction in the bid price or the addition of three points on a 100-point scale for a small business enterprise (SBE) certified by the Small and Local Business Opportunity Commission (SLBOC) or the Department of Small and Local Business Development (DSLBD), as applicable;

M.3.3.2

Five percent reduction in the bid price or the addition of five points on a 100-point scale for a resident-owned business enterprise (ROB) certified by the SLBOC or the DSLBD, as applicable;

ATTACHMENT E

Attachment J.2.1

[Contractor's Letterhead]

[Insert Date]

University of the District of Columbia 4200 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20008

Attn: Eddie Whitaker

Reference: IDIQ for Construction Management Services

Dear Mr. Whitaker:

On behalf of [insert name of offeror], I am pleased to submit this proposal in response to the University of the District of Columbia's Request for Proposal (RFP) to provide IDIQ Construction Management Services. The Offeror has reviewed the RFP and the attachments thereto, any addenda thereto, and has conducted due diligence and analysis as the Offeror, in its sole judgment, has deemed necessary in order to submit its Proposal in response to the RFP. The Offeror's Proposal is as follows:

Base Year	\$
Option Year 1	\$
Option Year 2	\$
Option Year 3	\$
Option Year 4	\$
Total	\$
Authorized Signature	
Title	